

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,237	09/29/2000	Ralph Frisch	TRW(ASG)5545	9971	
7	590 01/13/2003				
Tarolli Sundheim Covell Tummino & Szabo LLP			EXAMINER		
1111 Leader Bi 526 Superior A	venue	FLEMING, FAYE M			
Cleveland, OH 44114-1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3616			
		DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

Le

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,237	09/29/2000	Ralph Frisch	TRW(ASG)5545	9971	
75	590 01/03/2002				
Tarolli Sundheim Covell Tummino & Szabo LLP			EXAMINER		
1111 Leader Bl 526 Superior A		FLEMING, FAYE M			
Cleveland, OH		,			
Cicvolana, Oli	771171100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3618 DATE MAILED: 0 <del>1/03/2002</del>			
•			Remarked		
		10-03			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠.		Application N		Applicant(s)	N			
		09/676,237		FRISCH, RALPH	V			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
<b>.</b>		Faye Fleming		3618				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory minimized will apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Octo	ober 10. 2001 .						
.,∟ 2a)□	•	is action is non-f	înal.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>	ince except for f	ormal matters, pr		e merits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims	,	,					
•	Claim(s) 7-12 and 16-19 is/are pending in the	application.						
,,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	7	ration.					
5) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7,8,10-12,16,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected	<b>i</b> .						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.					
	ion Papers							
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Exar	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)🛛	The proposed drawing correction filed on 10 Oc	<u>tober 2001</u> is: a)	□ approved b)	disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office a	ction.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	•			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rec	eived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	eived in Application	on No				
* 9	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen		_		(DTO 4/5) =				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC				



Application/Control Number: 09/676,237

Art Unit: 3618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

- 1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on October 10, 2001. These drawings are not acceptable.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "14" (fastener part) and "13" (steering wheel) have both been used to designate the same part. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the applicant has designated the fastener part and the steering wheel as the same part in the drawings and discloses in the specification "a fastener 10 in accordance with the invention which connects a component, e.g., the inflator mount 12 of a gas bag module 11 to a fastener part 14 secured, e.g., to a steering wheel 13". It is unclear how the fastener part is secured to a steering wheel when the fastener part is designated as the steering wheel. Appropriate correction is required.

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. The indicated allowability of claims 7, 8, 10 and 11 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to U. S. Patent 5,810,535. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,237

्र<sup>}</sup> Art Unit: 3618

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7, 8, 10-12, 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fleckensten, et al ('535) in view of Szigethy ('190).

Fleckenstein, et al discloses a gas bag module 1 comprising two fastening arms 10' having hooks 10 with contact surface areas; a fastener part 7 comprises a concave contact surface, said fastening arms entering into a snap-action connection with said fastener part and clasping said fastener part in a connected condition, said contact surface areas of said fastening arms engaging said concave contact surface of said fastener part when in said connected condition (See Figure 1). The fastener part and said fastening arms comprise ramps corresponding to each other, as shown in Figure 1. Fleckenstein teaches the claimed invention except for the gas bag module connected with a steering wheel. Szigethy teaches an air bag assembly within a steering wheel including a inflator mount 14. Based on the teachings of Szigethy, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the gas bag module of Fleckenstein, et al to mounted to a steering wheel to provide proctection for an occupant of a vehicle.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 18 is allowed.
- 8. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-12 and 16-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Faye Fleming at telephone number (703) 305-0209.

Faye Fleming

Examiner

Art Unit 3618

ולניט הבונובס עלני ביווית לילי